Suicide Prevention in Singapore

By Dr Jonathan Kuek

Local Suicide Landscape

In 2024, provisional data provided by the Samaritans of Singapore (SOS) and the Immigration and Checkpoints Authority (ICA) recorded 314 suicide deaths, representing a suicide rate of 5.91 per 100,000 residents[1]. Demographically, male suicide deaths continue to dominate, representing 64.3% (202 deaths) of the provisional total in 2024[2]. Suicide also persisted as the leading cause of death for youth aged 10 to 29 for the sixth consecutive year[3]. Crucially, the most significant shift occurred within the 30 to 39 age cohort, where suicide deaths rose sharply from 43 in 2023 to 75 in 2024, representing nearly a quarter (23.9%) of all recorded provisional deaths[3].

Helpline and text service data from SOS reveal that individuals in this transitional life stage face complex, intersecting pressures related to family problems, employment stability, and pre-existing mental health challenges[1]. Hence, this concentration of risk among youth and young adults emphasizes the need to move care upstream. While Singapore's National Mental Health and Well-being Strategy aims to expand clinical capacity and establish first-stop touchpoints, a critical gap remains in localized, evidence-based early interventions to intercept crises before they escalate into emergency presentations[4].

A significant challenge in Singapore’s current preventive framework is the fragmentation of clinical and community data[2]. While completed suicides are systematically cataloged via judicial coronial reports, the vast majority of suicide attempts remain undocumented unless an individual presents to an emergency department[4]. There is currently no mandatory reporting system for hospitals regarding suicide attempts, meaning that the true scale of psychological crises is likely to be underestimated[4].

Furthermore, up-to-date information on suicidal ideation and non-suicidal self-harm, which are strong predictors of subsequent suicide attempts, is often not consolidated, monitored,

and utilized meaningfully, and is mostly gathered during larger-scale national studies such as the Singapore Mental Health Study[5]. Taken together, while we do have the data and some existing services, more could be done to bolster our prevention efforts. Here, we discuss two possible approaches that could be introduced and incorporated into the local suicide prevention landscape.

Crisis Response Planning

To intercept acute crises before they escalate, healthcare and community systems require rapid, evidence-based stabilization protocols. A premier clinical model is Crisis Response Planning (CRP), an ultra-brief, single-session intervention designed to prevent suicidal behavior. Rather than treating suicide as a secondary symptom of a mental illness (such as depression) or psychosocial stressor (e.g., breakups, job loss, etc.), CRP directly targets suicidal urges as a distinct clinical issue. The clinical efficacy of CRP is supported by multiple trials, and when compared with a variety of similar suicide prevention efforts, it often outperforms them in different ways[6,7,8]. For example, in one study comparing crisis response plans with self-guided safety planning approaches, while both reduced suicidality, people who underwent the crisis response plan reported a stronger bond with their clinician, used the plan more often, and perceived it as more useful[6].

The mechanism of CRP is highly collaborative and therapist-guided, and it requires less than 45 minutes to complete[9]. It begins with a narrative assessment, in which the clinician or supporter invites the person to tell the story of their most recent crisis. Through this discussion, they collaboratively identify the individual's unique warning signs, internal coping strategies (such as distraction and self-soothing), social support networks, professional emergency contacts, and personalized reasons for living. Crucially, the final plan is handwritten on a blank physical index card. This tactile, personalized card serves as a vital memory aid that they are trained to utilize habitually at the very first sign of a crisis, preventing the mental rigidity that typically precedes suicidal behavior.

Training a broader array of stakeholders, such as General Practitioners (GPs) in polyclinics, school counselors, social service professionals, managers and emergency department nurses, in a locally tailored CRP protocol would help develop a wider safety net. Because the intervention is brief and does not require extensive psychiatric training, it can be seamlessly

embedded into standard consultation and other existing operating workflows. Equipped with this tool, frontline professionals can immediately build a handwritten stabilization plan with a distressed individual, safely managing their risk in the community and reducing the strain on emergency psychiatric wards.

Caring Contacts Texts

Even after receiving clinical care for mental health challenges, individuals remain highly vulnerable during transitions, such as the period immediately following discharge from an emergency department or a psychiatric ward. To maintain connectedness and prevent relapse, Caring Contacts offers a low-cost psychosocial intervention[10]. Originally developed by psychiatrist Dr. Jerome Motto in the 1970s, Caring Contacts involves sending brief, periodic, non-demanding messages of care and concern to individuals at risk over 12 to 24 months. These messages are strictly unconditional; they make no demands and require no reply or action from the recipient.

Underlying the effectiveness of the intervention is the cultivation of a sense of belonging and a goal to reduce isolation, which are primary psychological drivers of suicidality. The messages remind the recipient that they are valued, that their existence matters, and that they are not alone. Recent qualitative and quality-improvement research indicates that patients strongly prefer personalized messages and formats[11]. For example, older individuals prefer to receive messages in physical letters compared with younger individuals[12]. Other possible considerations include the content of the message, incorporating relevant details previously shared by the person receiving them.

To carry out this intervention locally, Singapore can leverage its established public healthcare clusters (National Healthcare Group, SingHealth, and National University Health System). Upon discharge from an emergency department or inpatient ward, dedicated teams of laypeople and clinically trained volunteers can collaborate with the primary care team to co-design a personalized 12-month text schedule for the discharged individual. These messages can be pre-programmed and delivered automatically via SMS or WhatsApp, with relevant text channels for redirecting any responses.

Conclusion

To effectively lower suicide rates in Singapore, communities must unite and create a more conducive ecosystem for better coordination of care between various existing and new stakeholders. Every life lost through suicide is one that could have been prevented.

References

1. https://www.sos.org.sg/pressroom/suicidestatistics2025/
2. https://www.straitstimes.com/singapore/community/314-suicides-reported-in-singapore-in-2024-remains-leading-cause-of-youth-deaths
3. https://www.channelnewsasia.com/singapore/suicide-deaths-singapore-2024-samaritans-sos-mental-health-5246086
4. https://www.moh.gov.sg/others/resources-and-statistics/national-mental-health-and-well-being-strategy--2023-/
5. https://www.channelnewsasia.com/commentary/suicide-data-prevention-measures-mental-health-helpline-counselling-5251081
6. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12913-024-11739-w
7. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0165032716319474
8. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/sltb.70050
9. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Xs0k1tjgo2c
10. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35420858/
11. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13811118.2019.1632231
12. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13811118.2019.1632231